Case: 4:19-cr-00783-AGF Doc. #: 19 Filed: 11/06/19 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 38

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 4:19 CR 783 AGE
MARTIN BALLARD)
Defendant)

) Case No. 4:19 CR 783 AGF
MARTIN BALLARD)
Defendant)
ORDER OF DETEN	TION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligil	bility for Detention
Upon the	
	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own	n motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	n is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination of contant and the community because the following conditions (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following conditions (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum (b) an offense for which the maximum serior (c) an offense for which a maximum term (c) an offense for which a maximum term (21 U.S.C. § 951-971), or Chapter 705 (d) any felony if such person has been contained (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through (b) in subparagraphs (a) through (c) in subparagraphs (a) through (d) in subparagraphs (d) in subparagraphs (d) through (d) in subparagraphs (d) through (d) in subparagraphs (d) in	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): 8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. 1 term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or 1 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 1 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 1 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 2 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 1 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 2 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 2 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 3 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 3 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 4 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 4 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 5 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 5 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 6 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or 6 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 5 sentence is life imprisonment or death; or 6 m of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or 7 convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs 8 or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses 9 (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal 9 on of such offenses; or
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convict	me of violence but involves: a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> ted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. at would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) abording committed while the defendant was on release☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elements.	ove for which the defendant has been convicted was e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> lapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the edgescribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Tri
--

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
\square (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term o imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Section 2015
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: \[\textstyle \text{By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. \[\textstyle \text{By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: \[\textstyle \text{Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong} \] \[\text{Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted} \] \[\text{Prior criminal history} \]
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

Case: 4:19-cr-00783-AGF Doc. #: 19 Filed: 11/06/19 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 40 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: On October 25, 2019, defendant Martin Ballard came before the Court for a detention hearing on the government's motion for his pretrial detention without bail. Defendant is charged with one count of being a felon in possession of a firearm on August 26, 2019. Upon conviction, the maximum statutory punishment includes confinement for not more than ten years. At the detention hearing the parties did not dispute the facts set forth in the written pretrial services report, filed on October 24, 2019 (Doc. 12). Therefore the Court hereby adopts and incorporates into this Order the facts set forth in the bail report. The Pretrial Services Office recommends defendant's pretrial detention. Defendant Ballard is 40 years of age and a lifelong resident of this area. He has resided in his current residence for 20 years. His mother and four siblings also reside in this area. Defendant is close with his mother. He has worked for the same concrete company for over a year. He reported he has used alcohol and marijuana occasionally, but cocaine and heroin daily. His girlfriend reported that he is a recovering addict and has been clean for 5 years. Defendant has a significant history of 5 felony convictions for theft, burglary, and stealing, and a conviction for resisting arrest. Most of his sentences were for relatively small terms of confinement and terms of probation. Terms of supervision on probation and pretrial release were suspended for drug use, new arrests, and location monitoring violations. In June 2014, he failed to appear in court. In 2019, while free on a burglary charge, he was arrested on August 26, 2019, for the unlawful possession of a firearm, which remains pending and appears to be the underlying case for this pending federal indictment. According to the Marshals Service, at the time of his arrest on October 23, 2019, in this case, defendant was in possession of five additional firearms. **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention** The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an

/s/ David D. Noce
United States Magistrate Judge

appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

11/06/2019

Date: